



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/785,176	02/23/2004	Kun-Hong Chen	250122-1270	8529
24504	7590	03/24/2006	EXAMINER	
THOMAS, KAYDEN, HORSTEMEYER & RISLEY, LLP 100 GALLERIA PARKWAY, NW STE 1750 ATLANTA, GA 30339-5948			NADAV, ORI	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2811	

DATE MAILED: 03/24/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/785,176

Applicant(s)

CHEN, KUN-HONG

Examiner

Ori Nadav

Art Unit

2811

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 09 June 2005.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 9, 10 and 12-19 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 9, 10 and 12-19 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
- ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 - ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 - ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 6) ☐ Other: _____

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 9-10 and 12-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. There is no support in the specification for a first metal layer, having a first and second end, wherein the direction extending from the first end to the second end is parallel to the substrate surface, as recited in claims 9, 14 and 18.

There is no support in the specification for a second metal line does not connect to the first plug, as recited in claim 19, since the second metal line and the first plug are electrically connected to each other.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the

Art Unit: 2811

invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 9-10 and 12-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fujikawa et al. (6,297,519) in view of Lee et al. (6,737,305).

Regarding claims 9, 14 and 18, Fujikawa et al. teach in figure 4B and related text an interconnect structure, comprising:

- a substrate 1 having a surface;

- a dielectric layer 4 disposed on the surface of the substrate 1;

- a first metal layer 22 disposed in the dielectric layer 4, having a first and second end, wherein the direction extending from the first end to the second end is parallel to the substrate surface;

- a second metal layer 35b disposed on the dielectric layer 4, wherein the second metal layer 35b is isolated from the first metal layer 22 by the dielectric layer 4; and

- a plurality of conductive plugs (portions 35b in the contact holes 25b) parallel to extending direction of the first metal layer disposed in the dielectric layer 4 and on the first end of the first metal layer 22 to electrically connect the second metal layer 35b, wherein the first metal layer and the second metal layer are a gate metal layer and a source/drain metal layer of a TFT device.

Fujikawa et al. do not teach a TFT array device. Lee et al. teach a TFT array substrate (column 1, lines 37-39). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use a TFT array device in Fujikawa et al.'s device in order to use the device in a practical application which utilizes a TFT array structure.

Art Unit: 2811

Regarding claims 10 and 15, Fujikawa et al. do not teach using the device for an LCD panel. Lee et al. teach the LCD panel comprises a TFT array substrate (column 1, lines 37-39). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the teaching of Lee et al. into the device taught by Fujikawa et al. in order to improve the property and the reliability of the liquid crystal display (LCD) panel.

Regarding claims 12 and 16, Fujikawa et al. teach the number of conductive plugs is 2, which is in the range of the claimed invention.

Regarding claims 13 and 17, Fujikawa et al. teach the conductive plugs (portions 35b in the contact holes 25b) disposed on the first end of the first metal layer 22 electrically connect one end of the second metal layer 35b.

Response to Arguments

Applicant argues that Fujikawa does not teach a plurality of conductive plugs parallel to extending direction of the first metal layer disposed in the dielectric layer and on the first end of the first metal layer to electrically connect the second metal layer.

Fujikawa et al. teach in figure 4B and related text a plurality of conductive plugs (portions 35b in the contact holes 25b) parallel to extending direction of the first metal layer disposed in the dielectric layer 4 and on the first end of the first metal layer 22 to electrically connect the second metal layer 35b,

Art Unit: 2811

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire **THREE MONTHS** from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within **TWO MONTHS** of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the **THREE-MONTH** shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than **SIX MONTHS** from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ori Nadav whose telephone number is 571-272-1660. The examiner can normally be reached between the hours of 7 AM to 4 PM (Eastern Standard Time) Monday through Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Eddie Lee can be reached on 571-272-1732. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2811

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).



O.N.
3/19/06

ORI NADAV
PRIMARY EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800